

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA**

GRANT STREET GROUP, INC.,)	
)	
Plaintiff,)	
)	Civil Action No. 2:09-cv-01407
v.)	
)	Judge Mark R. Hornak
REALAUCTION.COM, LLC,)	
)	
Defendant.)	

VERDICT FORM

In answering these questions, you must follow all the instructions I have given you in the Court's Instructions.

Each of your answers must be the unanimous answer of the jury.

INFRINGEMENT

Whether Realauction's RealForeclose Product Infringes the '063 Patent

Question #1: Has Grant Street proven, by a preponderance of the evidence, that Realauction's RealForeclose product infringes Claim 1 of Grant Street's '063 Patent?

Claim 1: Infringed _____ Not Infringed ✓

If you have found that Claim 1 is infringed, then answer each of the following subparts as to whether Grant Street has proven, by a preponderance of the evidence, that Realauction's RealForeclose product infringes any of the following dependent Claims. If you have found that Claim 1 is Not Infringed, proceed directly to Question #2 and skip the rest of this Question #1.

Claim 11: Infringed _____ Not Infringed _____

Claim 15: Infringed _____ Not Infringed _____

Claim 22: Infringed _____ Not Infringed _____

Claim 23: Infringed _____ Not Infringed _____

Claim 35: Infringed _____ Not Infringed _____

Claim 39: Infringed _____ Not Infringed _____

Whether Realauction's RealTaxLien Product Infringes the '063 Patent

The Court has ruled that Realauction's RealTaxLien product infringed Claims 1, 35 and 39 of Grant Street's '063 Patent from April 20, 2009 through March 9, 2010. Please now answer Questions #2(A) and #2(B).

Question #2(A): Please answer whether Grant Street has proven, by a preponderance of the evidence that Realauction's RealTaxLien product infringed dependent Claim 22 from April 20, 2009 through March 9, 2010.

Claim 22: Infringed ✓ _____ Not Infringed _____

Question #2(B): Has Grant Street proven, by a preponderance of the evidence, that Realauction's RealTaxLien product infringes Claim 1 of Grant Street's '063 Patent after March 9, 2010?

Claim 1: Infringed _____ Not Infringed ✓

If you have found that Claim 1 was infringed after March 9, 2010, then answer whether Grant Street has proven, by a preponderance of the evidence, that Realauction's RealTaxLien product infringes the following dependent Claims after March 9, 2010. If you have found that Claim 1 is not infringed after that date, then the following dependent Claims cannot be infringed after that date and you should skip the rest of this Question #2(B).

Claim 22: Infringed _____ Not Infringed _____

Claim 35: Infringed _____ Not Infringed _____

Claim 39: Infringed _____ Not Infringed _____

Whether Any Infringement was Willful

Question #3: Has Grant Street proven, by clear and convincing evidence, that Realauction infringed any of the Asserted Claims willfully?

YES ✓ NO

INVALIDITY

Whether Any Patent Claims Are Invalid

Question #4: Has Realauction proven, by clear and convincing evidence, that Claims 1-42 of Grant Street's '063 Patent are invalid for failing to satisfy the written description requirement?

Invalid Not Invalid ✓

Question #5: Has Realauction proven, by clear and convincing evidence, that any of the Asserted Claims are invalid in light of the prior art (by anticipation or by obviousness)?

Claim 1:	Invalid <u> </u>	Not Invalid <u>✓</u>
Claim 11:	Invalid <u> </u>	Not Invalid <u>✓</u>
Claim 15:	Invalid <u> </u>	Not Invalid <u>✓</u>
Claim 22:	Invalid <u> </u>	Not Invalid <u>✓</u>
Claim 23:	Invalid <u> </u>	Not Invalid <u>✓</u>
Claim 35:	Invalid <u> </u>	Not Invalid <u>✓</u>
Claim 39:	Invalid <u> </u>	Not Invalid <u>✓</u>

DAMAGES

Damages Arising From the RealForeclose Product

Answer Question #6 only if you found the RealForeclose product infringes a valid Claim – in other words, if you answered “Infringed” to any Claim in Question #1 and “Not Invalid” as to the same Claim in both Questions #4 and #5. Otherwise, do not answer this Question #6.

Question #6: Has Grant Street proven, by a preponderance of the evidence, that it is entitled to damages for some or for all use of the RealForeclose product?

Yes _____

No ✓

If Yes, in what amount? \$ _____

Question #6(A): Does this amount include any amounts for lost profits?

Yes _____

No _____

If Yes, what amount was included in Question #6 for lost profits?

\$ _____

Damages Arising From the RealTaxLien Product

Answer Question #7 only if you found the RealTaxLien product infringes a valid Claim. In other words, answer this Question #7 if you answered "Not Invalid" as to Claims 1, 35 or 39. Also, you are to answer Question #7 if you answered "Infringed" as to Claim 22 in Question #2(A), or as to any Claim in Question #2(B), and "Not Invalid" as to the same Claim in both Questions #4 and #5. Otherwise, do not answer Question #7.

Question #7: Has Grant Street proven, by a preponderance of the evidence, that it is entitled to damages for some or for all use of the RealTaxLien product?

Yes ✓

No _____

If Yes, in what amount? \$ 8.1 million

Question #7(A): Does this amount include any amounts for lost profits?

Yes ✓

No _____

If Yes, what amount was included in Question #7 for lost profits?

\$ 4.5 million

This is our unanimous verdict:

Michelle Thomas ✓
Juror #1

[Signature]
Juror #3

[Signature]
Juror #5

[Signature]
Juror #7

[Signature]
Juror #2

[Signature]
Juror #4

Frances J. Cecil
Juror #6

[Signature]
Juror #8

✓ = indicate foreperson with a "✓" before their name.

Dated: June 17, 2013